#### Magnetic Field Coils for the MSR Interior

#### M. McCrea TUCAN Collaboration

University of Winnipeg

2021/01/07

2021/01/07

M. McCrea TUCAN Collaboration (University of Winnip Magnetic Field Coils for the MSR Interior

1 Review of nEDM Measurement Method

- 2 Magnetic Field Requirements
- 3 Arbitrary Field Inhomogeneities  $n \times n$  Coil Array
- 4 G<sub>lm</sub> Coils



# TUCAN nEDM Mesurement Method



- The neutron electric dipole (nEDM) is extracted from the spin precession rate of the neutrons by taking the difference in the precession rates for polarized neutrons in configurations with the magnetic and electric fields parallel and anti-parallel.
- The goal of the TUCAN Collaboration is to measure the nEDM to a precision of better than  $10^{-27}e\ cm$ .

M. McCrea TUCAN Collaboration (University of Winnip

- As the ultra-cold neutrons (UCN) will travel through out the measurement cells during the measurement process, any inhomogeneities in the magnetic field will change the instantaneous precession rate of neutrons at each new location.
- Some magnetic field shapes will not only depolarize the neutrons in the cells, but also contribute to a false nEDM measurement. For example when using a mercury comagnetometer,

$$d_{Hg}^{false} = -\frac{\hbar\gamma_n\gamma_{Hg199}}{2c^2} \langle xB_x + yB_y \rangle. \tag{1}$$

- Due to these effects a highly uniform magnetic field is required in the measurement cells.
- The degree of uniformity that is required is determined from the desired accuracy of the measurement.

- As the nEDM measurement process requires polarized neutrons, the length of time that the neutron polarization must be maintained sets the limits on the required magnetic field homogeneity.
- One metric of the time that neutrons will remain polarized in a magnetic field is the T<sub>2</sub> relaxation time, which is a time constant related to the time for a fully polarized sample to completely depolarize.
- To reach a neutron  $T_2 > 10,000 \text{ s}$  the following requirements can be placed on the field uniformity:
  - $\Delta B_z < 140 \ \mathrm{pT}$ , where  $\Delta B_z$  is the difference in the  $B_z$  minimum and maximum in a cell.
  - $\sigma(B_z) < 40 \text{ pT}$ , where  $\sigma(B_z)$  is the standard deviation of the field over a cell.

# $n \times n$ Coil Array



An example coil array shown with an  $3 \times 3$  array of 9 coils on each cube face. Adjacent coils are drawn with different colors.

- The purpose of this coil array is to cancel arbitrary field inhomogeneities inside the magnetically shielded room(MSR).
- It is constructed as an array of square coils arranged symmetrically on the surface of a cube.
- The cancellation method is performed by using a fitting method to determine the required current in each coil to best cancel the measured fields at the selected measurement points, the 27 red circles in the example.

#### **Example Field Cancellation**



• 
$$B_z(x, y, z) = z^2 - \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2)$$

- Black dashed lines are the coil array edges.
- Red dashed lines on left hand plots is zoom extents on right hand plots.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

### Table 1: Results from $3 \times 3$ Array on Applied Fields

$(\ell, m)$	Δ	Bz	$\sigma($	B <sub>z</sub> )	$\langle E$	$\left  \frac{2}{T} \right\rangle$
or	(p	Т)	(p	T)	(n <sup>-</sup>	Γ²)
dipole	before	after	before	after	before	after
	correction	correction	correction	correction	correction	correction
(1,0)	455	6	140	0.6	0.26	$3 imes 10^{-6}$
(1,1)	1790	106	458	9	0.36	$2 imes 10^{-4}$
(2,0)	500	58	106	7	0.04	$4 imes 10^{-4}$
(2,1)	700	16	120	2	0.02	$5 imes 10^{-5}$
(2,2)	1065	116	230	12	0.17	$4 imes 10^{-4}$
dipole 1	1140	232	244	14	0.53	$7 imes 10^{-4}$
dipole 2 upper	500	20	109	3	0.47	$3 imes 10^{-5}$
dipole 2 lower	220	17	50	3	0.47	$3 imes 10^{-5}$
dipole 3 upper	870	37	187	8	0.16	$1 imes 10^{-4}$
dipole 3 lower	400	41	87	4	0.16	$1 imes 10^{-4}$
(3,1)	200	61	31	6	0.004	$1 imes 10^{-4}$
(3,3)	340	65	61	5	0.02	$2 imes 10^{-4}$
(4,2)	123	59	14	6	0.0005	$2  imes 10^{-4}$
goal		140		40		0.1

M. McCrea TUCAN Collaboration (University of Winnip

### What are the $G_{\ell,m}$ 's?

• For magnetic fields in volumes containing no electric currents it can be convenient to describe the field in the terms of a polynomial sum of the form:

$$B(x, y, z) = \sum_{l,m} G_{l,m} \begin{pmatrix} \Pi_{x,l,m}(x, y, z) \\ \Pi_{y,l,m}(x, y, z) \\ \Pi_{z,l,m}(x, y, z) \end{pmatrix}$$

where each term is a harmonic solution of the Laplace equation.

• See some example terms below:

l m	$\Pi_x$	$\Pi_y$	$\Pi_z$
0 - 1	0	1	0
0 0	0	0	1
$0 \ 1$	1	0	0
1 - 2	y	x	0
1 - 1	0	z	y
$1 \ 0$	$-\frac{1}{2}x$	$-\frac{1}{2}y$	z
$1 \ 1$	z	0	x
$1 \ 2$	x	-y	0

source: C. Abel et al., Phys. Rev. A 99, 042112 (2019)

M. McCrea TUCAN Collaboration (University of Winnip

Magnetic Field Coils for the MSR Interior

(2)

### Purpose of G<sub>lm</sub> Coils

- The goal of the the *G*<sub>*lm*</sub> coils is to cancel or selectively induce specific multipole moments that are related to significant systematics effects in the nEDM measurement.
- Being able to produce these magnetic multipole moments at a high purity will allow dedicated systematic runs to be performed.
- It is believed that 3 such coils are required corresponding to the three lowest order multipole moments,  $G_{1,0}$ ,  $G_{2,0}$ , and  $G_{3,0}$ , should be sufficient for the experiment.





 ▶
 ₹
 ₽
 ♀
 ○
 ○

 2021/01/07
 11/14

・ロット (雪) ・ (日) ・ (日)

The primary metric for these coils is then how well the specific field shape is recreated over the measurement cells.

- Relative Harmonic Contributions
  - By fitting a series of  $G_{\ell,m}$  terms to the field produced from a coil configuration the purity of the mode can be examined.
- Calculate the specific systematics that would be produced by a pure multipole to determine the cancellation efficiency.

• For example, 
$$d_{Hg}^{false} = -rac{\hbar \gamma_n \gamma_{Hg_{199}}}{2c^2} \langle x B_x + y B_y 
angle$$

# Example $G_{\ell,m}$ Evaluation

Magnetic Field Fit Components on Z-Axis Created:2020-11-25 10:08:34



Relative $G_{\ell,0}$				
$G_{\ell,0}$	$G_{\ell,0}/G_{2,0}$			
<i>G</i> <sub>0,0</sub>	0.002			
$G_{1,0}$	0.00004			
$G_{2,0}$	1.0			
$G_{3,0}$	0.00007			
$G_{4,0}$	0.03			
$G_{5,0}$	0.0003			

Comparision to Ideal						
$B_z$	Simulated	Ideal				
mean	3.03e-14	3.79e-14				
$\sigma(B_z)$	4.87e-14	4.78e-14				
$\Delta B_z$	21.69e-14	15e-14				
$d_{Hg}^{false}$	-8.3013e-15	-8.16e-15				

▶ < ≣ ▶ ≣ ∽ ९ @ 2021/01/07 13/14

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

- Based on the required measurement accuracy metrics were set for the magnetic field uniformity and shapes to determine when a coil would function as intended for the experiment.
- An  $n \times n$  array of square coils was designed to remove arbitrary field inhomogeneities.
- This coil configuration was shown to be able to cancel a wide range of field shapes.
- A set of  $G_{\ell,m}$  coils were designed to create specific field shapes in the measurement cell volumes.
- It has been shown that it is possible to create the required field shapes with a sufficient quality.
- For each type coil configurations have been simulated that meet the field uniformity requirements.