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## Dark photons and ordinary photons

- Dark Photons are plausible extensions of Standard Model (SM) and are basically the gauge bosons of a hidden $U(1)^{\prime}$ symmetry in BSM physics.
- Kinetically mixes with the $\operatorname{SM} U(1)$ (photons).
- This kinetic mixing can give rise to dark photon to photon conversions which make dark photons potentially detectable. These inter-conversions are central to lot of the current bounds.
- Photons can acquire an effective (non-zero) mass in the presence of a medium. This can heavily modify the mixing properties.
- Moreover, this induced effective mass may not be constant and can vary with space and time.
- Hence, a careful treatment of dark photon-photon oscillations in such potential profiles is important to accurately put bounds.




## Photon-dark photon Lagrangian
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"Kinetic mixing term"

## Dark Photon oscillation

$$
\mathscr{L}=-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{4} X_{\mu \nu} X^{\mu \nu}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1}^{\mu} & A_{2}^{\mu}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
m_{\gamma}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & m_{\gamma^{\prime}}^{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{A_{1 \mu}}{A_{2 \mu}}+e J^{\mu}\left(A_{1 \mu}+\epsilon A_{2 \mu}\right)
$$
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A_{1}^{\mu}=A^{\mu}-\epsilon A^{\prime \mu}
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## Dark Photon oscillation
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\begin{gathered}
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m_{\gamma}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & m_{\gamma^{\prime}}^{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{A_{1 \mu}}{A_{2 \mu}}+e J^{\mu}\left(A_{1 \mu}+\epsilon A_{2 \mu}\right) \\
\left.A_{1}^{\mu}=A^{\mu}-\epsilon A^{\prime \mu} \quad \text { "Mass eigenbasis" } \begin{array}{c}
A_{2}^{\mu}=A^{\prime \mu}
\end{array}\right) \\
\mathscr{L}=-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{4} X_{\mu \nu} X^{\mu \nu}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{a}^{\mu} & A_{s}^{\mu}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
m_{\gamma}^{2} & \epsilon m_{\gamma^{\prime}}^{2} \\
\epsilon m_{\gamma^{\prime}}^{2} & m_{\gamma^{\prime}}^{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{A_{a \mu}}{A_{s \mu}}+e J^{\mu} A_{a \mu}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
A_{a}^{\mu}=A_{1}^{\mu}+\epsilon A_{2}^{\mu}: \text { active state }
$$

"Interaction eigenbasis"
$A_{s}^{\mu}=A_{1}^{\mu}-\epsilon A_{2}^{\mu}:$ sterile state
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$$
P_{\gamma \leftrightarrow \gamma^{\prime}}=\epsilon^{2}\left|\int_{z_{i}}^{z} d z^{\prime} \frac{m_{\gamma^{\prime}}^{2}}{2 \omega} e^{-i \Phi\left(z^{\prime}\right)}\right|^{2}
$$

$$
\Phi(z)=\int_{z_{i}}^{z} d z^{\prime} \frac{m_{\gamma^{\prime}}^{2}-m_{e f f}^{2}}{2 \omega}
$$

"Accumulated relative phase"

- In vacuum, the photon state is massless and we have $m_{\text {eff }}^{2}=0$

$$
\left\langle P_{\gamma \leftrightarrow \gamma^{v}}^{v a c}\right\rangle=2 \epsilon^{2}
$$
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- Highly oscillatory integral
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- Integral gets most of it's contribution from stationary points


## Resonance and stationary phase approximation

$$
P_{\gamma \leftrightarrow \gamma^{\prime}}=\epsilon^{2}\left|\int_{z_{i}}^{z} d z^{\prime} \frac{m_{\gamma^{\prime}}^{2}}{2 \omega} e^{-i \Phi\left(z^{\prime}\right)}\right|^{2} \quad \Phi(z)=\int_{z_{i}}^{z} d z^{\prime} \frac{m_{\gamma^{\prime}}^{2}-m_{e f f}^{2}}{2 \omega}
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## Resonance and stationary phase approximation

$$
P_{\gamma \leftrightarrow \gamma^{\prime}} \approx \epsilon^{2}\left|\sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{\left|\Phi^{(2)}\left(z_{\text {res }}\right)\right|}} \frac{m_{\gamma^{\prime}}^{2}}{2 \omega} e^{-i \Phi\left(z_{\text {res }}\right)}\right|^{2}
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## Resonance and stationary phase approximation

$$
P_{\gamma \leftrightarrow \gamma^{\prime}} \approx \epsilon^{2} A^{2} \quad \text { with } \quad A \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{\left|\Phi^{(2)}\left(z_{\text {res }}\right)\right|}}\left(\frac{m_{\gamma^{\prime}}^{2}}{2 \omega}\right)
$$

"Landau-Zener"
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## Non-monotonic profiles and multiple resonances

$$
P_{\gamma \leftrightarrow \gamma^{\prime}}=\epsilon^{2}\left(\sum_{n} A_{n}^{2}+2 \sum_{n<k} A_{n} A_{k} \cos \Phi_{n k}\right)
$$

"Phase effects"
Dashgupta \& Dighe (2007)
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## Breakdown of LZ

$$
P_{\gamma \leftrightarrow \gamma^{\prime}}=\epsilon^{2}\left|\int_{z_{i}}^{z} d z^{\prime} \frac{m_{\gamma^{\prime}}^{2}}{2 \omega} e^{-i \Phi\left(z^{\prime}\right)}\right|^{2}
$$



## Coalescing saddle points

$$
P_{\gamma \leftrightarrow \gamma^{\prime}} \approx \epsilon^{2}\left|2 \pi\left(\frac{2}{\left|\Phi^{(3)}\left(z_{C}\right)\right|}\right)^{1 / 3} \frac{m_{\gamma^{\prime}}^{2}}{2 \omega}\left(\mathrm{Ai}(-\zeta)+i \# \mathrm{Ai}^{(1)}(-\zeta)\right)\right|^{2} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Ai} \rightarrow \text { Airy function } \\
& \zeta \sim\left(\frac{2}{\left|\Phi^{(3)}\right|}\right)^{1 / 3} \Phi^{(1)}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Phase
This work

$$
a=2000, b=10
$$

Toy model

$$
m_{e f f}^{2}(z)=b^{2}\left[1-\left(\frac{z}{a}-1\right)^{2}\right]
$$



$$
\xi \sim \frac{\left|\Phi^{(2)}\left(z_{C}\right)\right|}{\left|\Phi^{(3)}\left(z_{C}\right)\right|^{2 / 3}}
$$

NB, Asher Berlin, Katelin Schutz (PRD 2023)

## Astrophysical examples




## Reionisation plasma



## Reionization plasma


$\square$ LZ Phase This work

NB, Asher Berlin, Katelin Schutz (PRD 2023)

## Neutron star magnetospheres
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$$
B_{0} / B_{c r i t}=1, P=1 \mathrm{sec}, \omega=1 \mathrm{eV}
$$

## Neutron star magnetospheres



LZ
Phase
This work
$B_{0} / B_{\text {crit }} \sim 10, P \sim 1 \mathrm{~ms}, \omega \sim 0.1 \mathrm{eV}$
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## Summary

- Non-monotonic potential profiles are ubiquitous in astrophysics. More examples - supernova shockwave, solar chromosphere etc.
- The usual Landau-Zener formula breaks down near critical points.
- Our expression for coalescing saddle point provides an accurate prescription for evaluating the conversion probability.
- Moreover, it can be used for neutrino oscillations, axion-photon conversions, etc.
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## Stationary phase approximation

$$
\Phi(m, z)=\Phi\left(m, z_{0}\right)+\Phi^{(1)}\left(m, z_{0}\right)\left(z-z_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2!} \Phi^{(2)}\left(m, z_{0}\right)\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{3!} \Phi^{(3)}\left(m, z_{0}\right)\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{3}+\cdots
$$

- At critical point, $m=m_{C}$

$$
\Phi^{(1)}\left(m_{C}, z_{0}\right)=\Phi^{(2)}\left(m_{C}, z_{0}\right)=0
$$

## DP parameter space and bounds



## Neutron star magnetospheres



$$
B_{0} / B_{c r i t}=10
$$

## Sudden approximation



## Sudden approximation

$$
\mu \equiv \max \left(A_{n}\right) \quad \text { "Resonance enhancement }
$$
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\mu \equiv \frac{L_{\text {potential }}}{L_{\text {vacuum }}}
$$



## Sudden approximation

$$
\mu \ll 1 \longrightarrow P_{\gamma \leftrightarrow \gamma^{\prime}}=\text { vacuum osc. }
$$



Toy model


$$
a=20, b=10
$$

Toy model


## Neutron star magnetospheres

- Effective mass induced by plasma

$$
m_{e f f}^{2}=\frac{4 \pi \alpha \rho_{G J}}{e m_{e}}
$$

- Effective mass induced by large external magnetic fields

$$
m_{e f f}^{2}=-\frac{7 \alpha}{45 \pi}\left(\frac{B_{\text {ext }}}{B_{\text {crit }}}\right)^{2} \omega^{2}
$$

- $B_{\text {ext }}$ is dominated by the dipole component


## Non-monotonic profiles and multiple resonances

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\int_{z_{i}}^{z_{f}} d z^{\prime} \Delta_{\gamma^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}\right) e^{-i \Phi\left(m_{\gamma^{\prime}} z^{\prime}\right)}\right|^{2} \approx\left|\sum_{n} \sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{\left|\Phi^{(2)}\left(m_{\gamma^{\prime}}, z_{n}\right)\right|}} \Delta_{\gamma^{\prime}}\left(z_{n}\right) e^{-i \Phi\left(m_{\left.\gamma^{\prime}, z_{n}\right)}\right)-i \sigma_{n} \frac{\pi}{4}}\right|^{2} \\
P_{\gamma \rightarrow \gamma^{\prime}}\left(m_{\gamma^{\prime}}\right) \approx 4 \pi^{2} \epsilon^{2} \Delta_{\gamma^{\prime}}^{2}\left(z_{C}\right)\left(\frac{2}{\left|\Phi_{C}^{(3)}\left(m_{\gamma^{\prime}}\right)\right|}\right)^{2 / 3}\left\{\mathbf{A i}(-\zeta)+i \sigma_{1}\left(\frac{2}{\left|\Phi_{C}^{(3)}\left(m_{\gamma^{\prime}}\right)\right|}\right)^{1 / 3}\left[\frac{\omega_{C}^{\prime}}{\omega_{C}}-\frac{1}{6} \frac{\Phi_{C}^{(4)}\left(m_{\gamma^{\prime}}\right)}{\Phi_{C}^{(3)}\left(m_{\gamma^{\prime}}\right)}\right] \mathbf{A i}^{\prime}(-\zeta)\right\}^{2} \\
\zeta\left(m_{\gamma^{\prime}}\right)=\left(\frac{2}{\left|\Phi^{(3)}\left(z_{C}, m\right)\right|}\right)^{1 / 3} \Phi^{(1)}\left(z_{C}, m\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

